IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

EMANUAL D ID # 1127671	DELEON FIELDS,)
10 # 112(0)1	Petitioner,)
vs.) No. 3:05-CV-2075-D
Texas Departn	QUARTERMAN, Director, ment of Criminal ctional Institutions Division, Respondent.))))
		RT ON RECOMMENDATION ICATE OF APPEALABILITY
		e recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 2253 (c), the Court hereby finds and orders:
<u>IFP ST</u> (X) ()	the party appealing is GRANTED in forma pauperis status on appeal. the party appealing is DENIED in forma pauperis status on appeal for the following reasons:	
	that the appeal is not take and incorporates by refere entered in this case on Court finds that the appea frivolous. See Harkins v. Howard v. King, 707 F. 20 () the person appealing has	ant to Fed. R. App. P. 24(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (a) (3), n in good faith. In support of this finding, the Court adopts nce the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation Based upon the Magistrate Judge's findings, this of presents no legal points of arguable merit and is therefore <i>Roberts</i> , 935 F. Supp. 871, 873 (S.D. Miss. 1996) (citing d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983)). Is not complied with the requirements of Rule 24 of the e Procedure and /or 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) as ordered by
<u>COA</u> :	a Certificate of Appealability is GRANTED on the following issues:	
(X)	a Certificate of Appealability is DENIED. The Court hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate	

Judge, filed on **February 26, 2008**, in support of its finding that petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. *See Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 338 (2003); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) (2).

DATE: June 10, 2008.

SIDNEY A. FITZWATER CHIEF JUDGE